

Some unexpected problems appeared (for me) during the workshop and pose open questions: How much of my private experience is needed to function as material to work on a defined public problem? When is the line crossed over and “it is too much”? Another ethical question: How much can I or should I say? How much could or would others listen? And a more general problem of methodology: When and how can our private experience serve as the generalized understanding of the problem; is it legitimate to make such generalizations? Isn't it abuse – to treat a single experience as general problem? Do other participants gain false knowledge on the situations in other countries? I do not reject it, of course, but just want to suggest, that we didn't pay attention to these problems – and I think (now) we should find a little time to discuss them, particularly during an international meeting.

THANK YOU, HANDSOME GIRLS AND BEAUTIFUL BOYS! ;-)

Robert Kulpa